Case 6:69-cv-07941-KWR-KK State unjust 10658-1 Filed 11/22/11 Page 1 of 2

County of Rio Arriba

Santa Fe. NM 87504-5102 (505) 867-7444 phone (505) 867-2299 facsimor, John W. Utton Attorney for Associación de Acéquias Nortenas de Rio Arriba Sheehan & Sheehan, P.O. Box 271 Albuquerque, NM 87103-0271 (505)699-1445 phone (505) 842-8890 facsimile /s/ Bruce D. Black BRUCE D. BLACK UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE (Published October 27, November 3, 10 & 17,

sher's Bill

515 lines one time at _ 360.50

515 lines 3 times at 927.00

Affidavit 5.00

Subtotal 1292 . 50

Tax_ 150.82

Total 1,398.32

Payment received at Rio Grande SUN

Date October 19, 2011

By Jyhno Viyi

I, Robert Trapp, being first duly sworn, declare and say I am the Publisher of the *Rio Grande SUN*, a weekly newspaper published in the English language and having a general circulation in the County of Rio Arriba, State of New Mexico, and being a newspaper duly qualified to publish legal notices and advertisements under the provisions of Chapter 167 of the Session Laws of 1937. The publication, a copy of which is hereto attached, was published in said paper once each week for

_______consecutive weeks and on the same day of each week in the regular issue of the paper during the time of publication and the notice was published in the newspaper proper, and not in any supplement. The first publication being on the

27th day of Cctober, 2011

and the last publication on the 17th day of

November, 2011 Payment for said advertisement has been duly made, or assessed as court costs. The undersigned has personal knowledge of the matters and things set forth in this affidavit.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this ______

day of ________A.D. 2011

Maria V. Lopez Garcia /Notary Public My commission expires 13 July 2013

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

STATE OF NEW MEXICO, EX REL. STATE ENGINEER PLAINTIFF. 69CV07941-BB-LFG

VS. RIO CHAMA STREAM SYSTEM ROMAN ARAGON, ET AL., SECTION 7

SECTION 7,
CANONES CREEK
DEFENDANTS,
NOTICE AND ORDER
TO SHOW CAUSE
TO ALL CLAIMANTS,
KNOWN AND UNKNOWN, WHO CLAIM A
RIGHT TO USE THE SURFACE OR UNDER-GROUND WATERS OF THE CANONES CREEK SUBSECTION OF SEC-TION 7 OF THE RIO CHAMA STREAM SYS-TEM AND TO ALL OF THE FOLLOWING WHO CLAIM A RIGHT TO USE SURFACE WATER FOR IRRIGATION PUR-POSES IN THE CAN-ONES CREEK SUB-SECTION OF SECTION 7 OF THE RIO CHAMA STREAM SYSTEM AND WHOSE NAMES AP-PEAR BELOW:

DAGGET DITCH NO. 1 PRIORITY 1902
Defendants: E. Truman
Caudill, Jane Caudill, Caudili, Catherine Clemens, Kin-James R. Clemens, Kin-Living slow-Herbert Living Trust, John D. Morrow. James H. Renken Irrevo-cable Trust B, Patty S. Terrell, Sherry D. Wingo, Turner A. Wingo DAGGET DITCH NO. 2

PRIORITY 1902 Defendants: Dale R. El-liott, Virginia V. Elliott, Patrick S. Hogan, Karam Living Trust, Patty S. Terrell

DAGGET DITCH NO. 3 PRIORITY 1902

Defendants: Duncan Family Ltd. Partnership, Jim Ewbank, Orlinda Naranjo, M. Edwina Wood, Warren T. Wood PRIORITY 1902

Defendants: Jeb McNeii Binkley Trust, Margaret / Burt Binkley Trust, Sar-gent Mac Gregor Binkley Trust, Jim Ewbank, Orlin-

da Naranjo DAGGET DITCH NO. 5 PRIORITY 1902 Defendants: Karam Living Trust
GENTRY DITCH NO. 1
PRIORITY 1902

Defendants: Raleigh K. Gardenhire, Johnna Weaver

GENTRY DITCH NO. 2 PRIORITY 1902 Defendants: Petty S.

CANONES DITCH NO. 1

PRIORITY 1861
Defendants: El Barranco
LLC, Gene H. Gurule,
Juan F. Gurule, Antonio L. Martinez, Deborah L. Martinez, Eleuterio Martinez Jr., Ida Martinez, Johnny A. Martinez, Jose Antonio Martinez, Jose Onesimo Martinez Jr., Jose Orlando Martinez,

Lizette M. Martinez, Lu-cille E. Martinez, Lynette Martinez, Manuel Martinez, Marcus J. Martinez. Michael A. Martinez, Paul Martinez, Raymond R. Martinez, Robert Martinez, Robert E. Martinez, Gonzalo H. Martinez, nez Family Trust, Genevieve Mestas, Darlene Moya, Clorinda L. Nu-nez, Ramon R. Nunez, River Bend Ranch, LLC, Sadie Sanchez

CANONES DITCH NO. 2
PRIORITY 1861
Defendants: El Barranco
LLC, Donald A. Gon-

zales, Mary Ellen Burns Gonzales, Deborah L. Martinez, Earl James Martinez, Eleuterio Martinez Jr., Lizette M. Marti-nez, Marcus J. Martinez. Michael A. Martinez, Gonzalo H. Martinez Family Trust, Clorinda L. Nunez, Ramon R. Nunez, River Bend Ranch, LLC

UNNAMED DITCH NO. 1 PRIORITY 1861 Defendants: Mary Ellen Burns Gonzales

UNNAMED DITCH NO.

2 PRIORITY 1861

Defendant: Donald A.
Gonzales, Mary Ellen
Burna Gonzales

UNNAMED DITCH NO.
3 PRIORITY 1861
Defendants: Charles É.
Ezell, Dorothy W. Ezell,
Maurice A. Ezell, Arta C.
Smith John Sm Smith, Lea L. Smith

Smith, Leo L. Smith

UNNAMED DITCH NO.

4 PRIORITY 1861

Defendants: Charles E.

Ezelf, Dorothy W. Ezeli,
Maurice A. Ezeli,
Maurice A. Exeli,
Smith, Leo L. Smith BARRANCO DITCH

PRIORITY 1861 Defendants: Elvíria S. Abeyta, Jose M. Abeyta, El Barranco LLC, Benjamin A. Gallegos Jr., Frank A. Gallegos, John J. Gallegos, Mary Galle- 10 gos, Antonio Garcia, Cecilia Grimes, Deborah L. Martinez, Earl James Martinez, Eleuterio Marti-nez Jr., Lizette M. Martiлеz, Marcus J. Martinez, Michael A. Martinez, Patrick D. Martinez, Rose L. Martinez, Ted D. Martinez, Terry L. Martinez, Gonzalo H. Martinez Gonzalo H. Martinez Family Trust, River Bend Ranch, LLC, Larry E. Rivera, Cleofas Sanchez, Ciorinda Sanchez, Jose A. Sanchez, Medardo Sanchez Jr., Miguet A. Sanchez, Sanchez Family Trust, Rita Trujil-lo, Cleo B. Valdez, Crystal H. Valdez, Roxanne

SANCHEZ Y CHAVEZ
DITCH
PRIORITY 1877
Defendants: El Barranco

LLC, Ursula Germeine Vicenti Gallegos, Cleofas Sanchez, Miguel R. San-chez, Sanchez Family

SARGENT CANONES
DITCH PRIORITY November 27, 1931 Defendants: Edward L. Binkley III, Jeb McNeil Binkley Trust, Margaret Burt Binkley Trust, Sar-gent Mac Gregor Bink-ley, Dlamond S Ranch, Rice Living Trust, Charles A. Sanders, Dar Sanders, Derek

NORTH CANONES DITCH PRIORITY

December 11, 1923 Defendants: Charles E. Ezell, Dorothy W. Ezell, Maurice A. Ezell, Mary r Ellen Burns Gonzales, Arta C. Smith, Leo L. [Smith

H.N.D. DITCH

PRIORITY
December 1, 1922
Defendants: El Barranco
LLC, Mundy Ranch Inc. You are hereby notified that the Court has entered an order requiring you to file an objection with the Court if you disagree with the State's proposed determination of the priority date of your water right and ditch, or the priority dates for any other community or private ditch in the Canones Subsection of Section 7 of the Rio Chama Stream System ("Canones Subsection") as described above. The priority dates for the ditches listed above will apply to all individual irrigation water rights served by the ditches listed, unless you or oth-er persons file objec-tions. The priority dates for ditches listed above will also apply to a spring that serves as a source of water for irrigators under those ditches, and which is described on individual subfile orders filed with the Court. Defendants that have previously agreed to a priority date for their water rights in the Canones Subsection in subfile orders filed with the Court may not object to the dates of their own water rights, but may file objections to the proposed determination of the priority dates of other water users. In addition, you are hereby notified that the Court has entered an order re-

quiring you to file an ob-jection with the Court if you disagree with the State's proposed determination of the irrigation water requirements for surface water irrigation use in the Canones Subsection for lands irrigated under pre-1907 ditches

a. The weighted con-sumptive irrigation re-quirement (CIR) is 1.05 acre-teet per acre per annum.

b. The farm delivery re-quirement (FDR) is 2.63 acre-feet per acre per annum.

c. The project diversion requirement (PDR) is 4.38 acre-feet per acre per annum

The ditches in the Canones Subsection above

amounts apply are all of the ditches identified above with the exception of the Sargent Canones Ditch, North Canones Ditch and H.N.D. Ditch. You are hereby notified that you are required to file an objection with the Court if you disagree with the State's proposed determination of the irrigation water require-ments for surface water irrigation use on lands in the Canones Subsection that are irrigated under final licenses issued by the Office of the State Engineer, as described

Sargent Canones Ditch)i

(OSE No. 1975):
a. The weighted consumptive irrigation requirement (CIR) is 1.05 acre-feet per acre per аппит.

b. The farm delivery requirement (FDR) is 1.5 acre-feet per acre per a annum.

c. The project diversion is requirement (PDR) is 2.5 acre-feet per acre per аппит.

North Canones Ditch
(OSE No. 1609);
a. The weighted consumptive irrigation requirement (CIR) is 1.05 acre-feet per acre per annum.

b. The farm delivery re-quirement (FDR) is 1.5 acre-feet per acre per annum.

c. The project diversion requirement (PDR) is 2.5 acre-feet per acre per аплит.

H.N.D. Ditch

a. The weighted consumptive irrigation requirement (CIR) is 1.05 acre-feet per acre per annum.

b. The farm delivery requirement (FDR) is 1.3 acre-feet per acre per annum,

c. The project diversion requirement (PDR) is 2.17 acre-feet per acre

per annum.
The term "Consumptive Irrigation Requirement" ("CiR") refers to the quantity of water, expressed in acre-feet per acre per year, exclusive of effective precipitation, that is consumptively used by plants or is evaporated from the soil surface during one cal-endar year. The term "Farm Delivery Require-ment" ("FDR") refers to the quantity of water expressed in acre-feet per acre per year, exclusive of effective precipitation, delivered to the farm headgate or which is di-verted from a source of water which originates on the farm itself, such as a well or spring, necessary to satisfy the con-sumptive irrigation requirements of crops grown on a farm in one the vear. The calendar year. The "Project Diversion Re-quirement" ("PDR") or

off-farm diversion quirement is defined as the quantity of water, exclusive of effective pre-cipitation, which is diverted from the stream or an off-farm source to satisfy the farm delivery require ment for one calendar year. The irrigation water requirements set forth above do not include di-version requirements associated with stock ponds or other impoundments determined have valid water rights in these proceedings.
If you agree with the pri-

ority dates and irrigation 3/1/58-water requirements described above, you do aWS not need to take any action. if any objections are filed by any persons you may participate in the proceedings to resolve those objections. If no objections are filed, on the Court will enter an order that recognizes the elements of the water rights for surface water irrigation use in the Canones Subsection as described above. If you disagree, you must fol-low the instructions be-

This will be your only opportunity in these pro-ceedings to object to the determination of priority dates or irrigation water requirements in the Can-ones Subsection. Sub-sequent inter se pro-ceedings in the Canones ceedings in the Canones Subsection will not in-clude the opportunity to object to those determi-nations. IT IS THEREFORE OR-DERED THAT if you object to any of the priority dates stated above, or to

the irrigation water re-quirements for surface water irrigation use in the Canones Subsection as stated above, you must file your objection with the Court, in person or by mail, FOR RECEIPT NO LATER THAN December 15, 2011: United States

District Court Clerk 333 Lomas Blvd. NW, Suite 270 Albuquerque, NM 87102 If you timely file an ob-jection the Special Master or the Court will notify ter or the Court will many you of a mandatory scheduling and pretrial conference, where you will learn how objections public will be heard by the Court. This will be your Court. Inis will be your only opportunity to object to these elements of your water rights or any other water rights. If you fail to timely object and attend the scheduling and pre-trial conference you may not raise objections in the future. the future. If you have any ques-

tions, call or write: Edward G. Newville

Special Assistant

Attorney General Office of State Engineer P.O. Box 25102

:ula-

not in

t has ed n this

2013